STATE OF MINNESOTA	DISTRICT COURT
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN	FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
Scott Enstad,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	SUMMONS Court File No
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,	
Defendant.	

THIS SUMMONS IS DIRECTED TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S)

- 1. YOU ARE BEING SUED. The Plaintiff has started a lawsuit against you. The Plaintiff's Complaint against you is attached to this summons. Do not throw these papers away. They are official papers that affect your rights. You must respond to this lawsuit even though it may not yet be filed with the Court and there may be no court file number on this summons.
- You must give or mail to the person who signed this summons a written response called an Answer within 20 days of the date on which you received this Summons.

 You must send a copy of your Answer to the person who signed this summons

YOU MUST REPLY WITHIN 20 DAYS TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

The same series and the series of the person who organic this balling the

located at:

2.

WALKER & WALKER LAW OFFICES, PLLC ATTN: Bennett Hartz 4356 Nicollet Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55409

YOU MUST RESPOND TO EACH CLAIM. The Answer is your written
 response to the Plaintiff's Complaint. In your Answer you must state whether you

agree or disagree with each paragraph of the Complaint. If you believe the Plaintiff should not be given everything asked for in the Complaint, you must say so in your Answer.

- 4. YOU WILL LOSE YOUR CASE IF YOU DO NOT SEND A WRITTEN
 RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT TO THE PERSON WHO SIGNED THIS
 SUMMONS. If you do not Answer within 20 days, you will lose this case. You
 will not get to tell your side of the story, and the Court may decide against you and
 award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the complaint. If you do not want to
 contest the claims stated in the complaint, you do not need to respond. A default
 judgment can then be entered against you for the relief requested in the complaint.
- 5. **LEGAL ASSISTANCE.** You may wish to get legal help from a lawyer. If you do not have a lawyer, the Court Administrator may have information about places where you can get legal assistance. Even if you cannot get legal help, you must still provide a written Answer to protect your rights or you may lose the case.
- 6. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION. The parties may agree to or be ordered to participate in an alternative dispute resolution process under Rule 114 of the Minnesota General Rules of Practice. You must still send your written response to the Complaint even if you expect to use alternative means of resolving this dispute.

Date: December 21, 2018

<u>/s/ Bennett Hartz</u>

Andrew C. Walker #392525
Bennett Hartz #393136
Walker & Walker Law Offices, PLLC
4356 Nicollet Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55409
(612) 824-4357
Attorneys for Scott Enstad

STATE OF MINNESOTA	DISTRICT COURT
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN	FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
Scott Enstad,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v.	COMPLAINT
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,	Court File No
Defendant.	

- Plaintiff Scott Enstad pleads the following claims based on violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., by Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo").
- Wells Fargo used a robodialer to harass Enstad on his cell phone without his permission, in violation of the TCPA's prohibition of robodialers.

VENUE, PARTIES, AND JURY TRIAL

- 3. Enstad demands a jury trial to the extent available under US Const. Amend. 7.
- 4. Venue is proper because Wells Fargo regularly conducts business in Hennepin County, and because the claims at issue in this case occurred in and harmed a person living there.
- 5. Enstad is a natural person residing in Hennepin County.
- Wells Fargo is a national association that regularly conducts business in Minnesota and Hennepin County specifically.

THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 7. As more Americans began carrying cellular telephones in the 1990s, Congress sought to limit the potential for constant harassment posed by telemarketers and automated telephone dialing systems— commonly called "autodialers."
- 8. The TCPA was enacted to this end. Among other abusive actions, the TCPA prohibits anyone from calling a cell phone using an autodialer, an automated voice, or a pre-recorded message.

FACTS

- 9. Wells Fargo is attempting to collect a debt from Enstad.
- 10. In an attempt to collect this debt, Wells Fargo routinely calls Enstad on his cell phone.
- 11. Wells Fargo places these calls to Enstad using an autodialer, an artificial voice, or both.
- 12. When answering these autodialed calls, Enstad immediately heard either a recorded, automated voice or a long pause of dead air. This pause indicates Wells Fargo's autodialer calling Enstad, and then connecting to a live operator at Wells Fargo within a few seconds of answering.
- 13. These factors, paired with the frequency of the calls, strongly suggest that Wells Fargo was calling Enstad's cell phone using an automated telephone dialing system.
- 14. Wells Fargo did not have Enstad's consent to use these electronic means to call his cell phone.

- 15. Enstad also explicitly revoked any consent to be called on his cell phone during a phone call with Wells Fargo on or about May 25, 2018.
- 16. Despite this explicit revocation, Wells Fargo continued to autodial Enstad's cell phone.
- 17. Following his revocation, Wells Fargo placed autodialed collection calls to Enstad on at least (but not limited to) seven subsequent occasions.
- 18. Wells Fargo disregarded his revocation of consent and willfully continued to contact Enstad with impunity using a prohibited automated telephone dialing system.

COUNT I: TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 19. Enstad incorporates all other allegations as if set forth herein in full.
- 20. The TCPA bans using auto-dialers or artificial voices to call cell phones absent the consumer's consent:

It shall be unlawful for any person within the United States . . . to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice . . . to any telephone number assigned to a paging service, cellular telephone service, specialized mobile radio service, or other radio common carrier service, or any service for which the called party is charged for the call "47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

- 21. Wells Fargo violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) by knowingly calling Enstad at least seven times on his cell phone using an automatic dialing system and/or an artificial, pre-recorded voice without Enstad's consent.
- 22. Wells Fargo also ignored Enstad's explicit revocation of consent.
- 23. Wells Fargo thus willfully and knowingly violated § 227(b)(1).

- 24. Enstad was stressed and harassed by the frequency of Wells Fargo's calls to his cell phone, and by his inability to stop these calls, as is his right by statute.
- 25. The TCPA provides the following remedy for its violation:
 - "A person or entity may, if otherwise permitted by the law or rules of court of a State, bring in an appropriate court of that State (A) an action based on a violation of this subsection . . . to enjoin such violation, (B) an action to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater, or (C) both such actions. If the court finds that the defendant willfully or knowingly violated this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection, the court may, in its discretion, increase the amount of the award to an amount equal to not more than 3 times the amount available under subparagraph (B) of this paragraph." 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).
- 26. Enstad is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial or statutory damages of \$1,500 per each of Wells Fargo's telephone calls violating the TCPA, whichever is greater, under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

RELIEF REQUESTED

Enstad requests an Order for the following relief:

- 1. Judgment in favor of Scott Enstad and against Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. for actual damages, or for statutory damages of \$1,500 per each telephone call violating the TCPA, whichever is greater. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).
- Interest accruing from commencement of this action at 4% for awards up to
 \$50,000.00, or at 10% for awards over \$50,000.00, under Minn. Stat. § 549.09.
- 3. All other relief the Court deems just and equitable.

Date: December 21, 2018

/s/ Bennett Hartz

Andrew C. Walker #392525 Bennett Hartz #393136

Walker & Walker Law Offices, PLLC 4356 Nicollet Avenue South

Minneapolis, MN 55409

(612) 824-4357

Attorneys for Scott Enstad

Acknowledgement

Plaintiff, by counsel, acknowledges that Minn. Stat. § 549.211 sanctions can be imposed.

Date: December 21, 2018

/s/ Bennett Hartz

Bennett Hartz #393136